# City of Sunnyvale Ten Year Project Costs by Project Category and Type

						Dylidj	cci Caicgi	ory and ryp	, ,						
Project Number	Project Name	Prior Years Actual	Revised Budget 2002-03	Plan 2003-04	Plan 2004-05	Plan 2005-06	Plan 2006-07	Plan 2007-08	Plan 2008-09	Plan 2009-10	Plan 2010-11	Plan 2011-12	Plan 2012-13	Ten Year Plan Total	Project Grand Total
Catego Type:	-	nl Waste													
811250	SMaRT Station E													1	
		611,455	246,827	437,719	545,708	75,605	243,617	2,186,221	760,226	38,971	8,392	810,922	209,063	5,316,444	6,174,726
821170	SMaRT Station C												1		
		105,776	0	0	0	156,060	0	0	0	0	0	0	179,264	335,324	441,100
821180	Contribution to S			ntract RFP										•	
		50,187	0	0	0	74,736	0	0	0	0	0	0	85,848	160,584	210,771
822330	Trim Landfill Sci	reening Trees on 0	Caribbean Dı	rive											
		60,000	60,000	0	0	36,414	0	0	38,643	0	0	41,008	0	116,065	236,065
822340	Enhance Multi-Fa	amily Recycling I	Program												
		49,250	31,421	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	80,671
824250	Landfill Gas Syst	tem Response to N	New Federal	Regulations											
		0	0	50,000	0	0	2,971	0	0	3,153	0	0	3,346	59,470	59,470
824260	Solid Waste Cost	of Service Study													
		0	0	0	0	0	31,884	0	0	0	0	35,202	0	67,086	67,086
Total		876,668	338,248	487,719	545,708	342,815	278,472	2,186,221	798,869	42,124	8,392	887,132	477,521	6,054,973	7,269,889

# **Project: 811250** SMaRT Station Equipment Replacement

Category: Origination Year: Planned Completion Year: Origin:	Special 1995-96 Ongoing Staff	Type: Phase: % Complete:	Solid Waste Ongoing n/a		Department: Project Manager: Project Coordinator: Interdependencies:	Public Works Richard Gurney Gail Bentley none
Element: Sub-Element:	3 Environmental Management 3.2 Solid Waste Management		Goal: Neighborhood:	3.2A City Wi	de	
Fund:	490 SMaRT Station		Sub-Fund:	200 SN	MaRT Station Equipment	Replac

## **Statement of Need**

These costs are for replacing and maintaining City-owned equipment and facilities at the SMaRT Station. This project is funded by payments to the replacement reserve made by Sunnyvale, Palo Alto and Mountain View, which pay 55.28%, 21.27% and 23.45% of the total costs, respectively. The replacement schedule and costs are updated annually to reflect anticipated expenses, based on the City's experience since the facility opened in 1993.

### **Service Level**

no service level effect

#### **Issues**

Costs have increased due to establishing more accurate cost estimates of equipment replacement and major repairs.

Financial Data	Prior Years Actual	Revised Budget 2002-03	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	10 Year Budget	Grand Total
<b>Project Costs</b>	567,665	246,827	437,719	545,708	75,605	243,617	2,186,221	760,226	38,971	8,392	810,922	209,063	5,316,444	6,130,936
Revenues														
Total	37,398	0											0	37,398
Transfers-In														•
Total	0	0											0	0
<b>Operating Costs</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

# **Project: 821170 SMaRT Station Operations Contract RFP**

Category: Origination Year: Planned Completion Year: Origin:	Special 1999-00 Ongoing Staff	Type: Phase: % Complete:	Solid Waste Ongoing n/a		Department: Project Manager: Project Coordinator: Interdependencies:	Public Works Mark Bowers Gail Bentley none
Element: Sub-Element:	3 Environmental Management 3.2 Solid Waste Management		Goal: Neighborhood:	3.2D City Wio	de	
Fund:	490 SMaRT Station		Sub-Fund:	100 SM	MaRT Station Operating	

### **Statement of Need**

This project funds development, issuance, and evaluation of a Request for Proposals (RFP) to operate the City's SMaRT Station. The operation contract with Waste Management, Inc. expired December 31, 2000. The new contractor, Green Team/Zanker, will operate the facility through December 31, 2007. The proposed budget assumes that toward the end of this contract there will be another RFP process beginning in FY 2005/2006. The SMaRT Station Operations Fund, 490/100, will be reimbursed for the full cost of this project by the three cities, based on their proportions of solid waste delivered to the SMaRT Station in the year the expenditures occur.

### **Service Level**

no service level effect

#### **Issues**

none

Financial Data	Prior Years Actual	Revised Budget 2002-03	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	10 Year Budget	Grand Total
<b>Project Costs</b>	105,776	0	0	0	156,060	0	0	0	0	0	0	179,264	335,324	441,100
Revenues														
SMaRT Operations Cost	Reimb Mountai	in View	0	0	46,818	0	0	0	0	0	0	53,779		
SMaRT Operations Cost	Reimb Palo Alt	to	0	0	34,333	0	0	0	0	0	0	39,438		
SMaRT Operations Cost	Reimb Sunnyva	ale	0	0	74,909	0	0	0	0	0	0	86,047		
Total	105,776	0											335,324	441,100
Transfers-In														
Total	0	0											0	0
<b>Operating Costs</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

# **Project: 821180 Contribution to SMaRT Station Operations Contract RFP**

Category: Origination Year: Planned Completion Year: Origin:	Special 1999-00 Ongoing Staff	Type: Phase: % Complete:	Solid Waste Ongoing n/a		Department: Project Manager: Project Coordinator: Interdependencies:	Public Works Mark Bowers Gail Bentley none
Element: Sub-Element:	3 Environmental Management 3.2 Solid Waste Management		Goal: Neighborhood:	3.2D City Wi	de	
Fund:	455 Utilities		Sub-Fund:	200 So	olid Waste Management	

### **Statement of Need**

Project 821180, SMaRT Station Operations Contract RFP, funds development, issuance, and evaluation of a Request for Proposals (RFP) to operate the City's SMaRT Station. The operation contract with Waste Management, Inc. expired December 31, 2000. The new contractor, Green Team/Zanker, will operate the facility through December 31, 2007. The proposed budget in project 821180 assumes that toward the end of this contract there will be another RFP process beginning in FY 2005/2006, and every 7 years thereafter. The SMaRT Station Operations Fund, 490/100, will be reimbursed for the full cost of this project by the three cities, based on their proportions of solid waste delivered to the SMaRT Station in the year the expenditures occur. This project captures Sunnyvale's contribution to the SMaRT Station Operations Fund, 490/100, for its share of the RFP project expenses.

## **Service Level**

no service level effect

#### **Issues**

none

Financial Data	Prior Years Actual	Revised Budget 2002-03	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	10 Year Budget	Grand Total
<b>Project Costs</b>	50,187	0	0	0	74,736	0	0	0	0	0	0	85,848	160,584	210,771
Revenues														-
Total	0	0											0	0
Transfers-In														
Total	0	0											0	0
<b>Operating Costs</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

# **Project: 822330** Trim Landfill Screening Trees on Caribbean Drive

Category: Origination Year: Planned Completion Year: Origin:	Special 2001-02 2005-06 Staff	Type: Phase: % Complete:	Solid Waste Ongoing n/a		Department: Project Manager: Project Coordinator: Interdependencies:	Public Works none Gail Bentley none
Element: Sub-Element:	3 Environmental Management 3.2 Solid Waste Management		Goal: Neighborhood:	3.2H Lakewo	od	
Fund:	455 Utilities		Sub-Fund:	200 So	olid Waste Management	

## **Statement of Need**

The south side of the Sunnyvale Landfill is screened by a row of eucalyptus trees that runs for over one mile along the north side of Caribbean Drive. These trees were last pruned as part of a capital improvement project in 2002, and are now due for a major pruning in 05/06. These species of trees requires pruning at three year intervals.

## **Service Level**

no service level effect

### **Issues**

none

Financial Data	Prior Years Actual	Revised Budget 2002-03	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	10 Year Budget	Grand Total
<b>Project Costs</b>	0	60,000	0	0	36,414	0	0	38,643	0	0	41,008	0	116,065	176,065
Revenues														
Total	0	0											0	0
Transfers-In														
Total	0	0											0	0
<b>Operating Costs</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## **Project: 822340 Enhance Multi-Family Recycling Program**

Category: Origination Year: Planned Completion Year: Origin:	Special 2001-02 2002-03 Staff	Type: Phase: % Complete:	Solid Waste Completed 100		Department: Project Manager: Project Coordinator: Interdependencies:	Public Works Richard Gurney Gail Bentley none
Element: Sub-Element:	3 Environmental Management 3.2 Solid Waste Management		Goal: Neighborhood:	3.2B City Wi	de	
Fund:	455 Utilities		Sub-Fund:	200 So	olid Waste Management	

#### Statement of Need

This project was first conducted in FY 01-02, 02-03 with anticipated operating cost savings of \$48,889 and an increase of \$12,502 in revenue (increasing at rate of 1% for inflation) per month. It also was to reoccur every five years (FY 07-08, 08-09). Do to the economy and the inherent difficulties of social marketing to develop sustainable social change (increase recycling) to residents in multi-family complexes, Solid Waste is eliminating the periodic project cost and increasing operating cost by \$20,000 to meet our updated ten year projections. The results of this project demonstrate the need for ongoing marketing to produces sustainable increases in recycling. Providing \$30,000 every 5 years is not the best strategy for continued increases in multi-family recycling. The project will not meet expectations and making this a major focus each year should generate measurable results.

The multi-family recycling program produces an average of four pounds of recyclables per unit per week from 20,957 units served (adjusted for vacancy rates). Previously, marketing our recycling services consisted of the mailing of two program brochures per year. Results in other cities show recovery can be as high as ten pounds per unit per week, and staff feels that Sunnyvale can achieve a higher rate than currently being achieved. This will increase revenue from sales of recyclable materials and decrease SMaRT Station and landfill disposal costs by reducing the amount of refuse collected. The sustainable marketing to multi-family units will supplement the current mailing of brochures to multi-family residents with direct contract with residents in order to explain the program, demonstrate its benefits, and offer a tote bag or other in-home container to make recycling more convenient. Landfill cost savings and recycling revenues are discounted by 50% to reflect existing MRF diversion that will be displaced by source separation.

#### Service Level

Increased city contact with Multi-family residents should increase our level of service to our customers.

#### Issues

Project is completed in FY 2002/03.

Financial Data	Prior Years Actual	Revised Budget 2002-03	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	10 Year Budget	Grand Total
<b>Project Costs</b>	48,437	31,421	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	79,858
Revenues														
Curbside Sales - General			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	0	0											0	0
Transfers-In														
Total	0	0											0	0
Operating Costs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## Project: 824250 Landfill Gas System Response to New Federal Regulations

Category: Origination Year: Planned Completion Year: Origin:	Special 2003-04 2003-04 Staff	Type: Phase: % Complete:	Solid Waste Planning 10		Department: Project Manager: Project Coordinator: Interdependencies:	Public Works Mark Bowers Gail Bentley none
Element: Sub-Element:	3 Environmental Management 3.2 Solid Waste Management		Goal: Neighborhood:	3.2H City Wi	de	
Fund:	455 Utilities		Sub-Fund:	200 So	olid Waste Management	

### **Statement of Need**

New regulations pertaining to the Sunnyvale Landfill (BAAQMD Regulation 8, Rule 34 [8-34]) became effective on July 1, 2002. The landfill has also recently been required (by the BAAQMD) to prepare a Title V (Major Facility Review) permit application. Significant consultant and equipment costs are involved in preparing and submitting the Title V permit application, and in complying with the new requirements of both 8-34 and Title V. Additional instrumentation is required to monitor and record gas utilization aspects of the Power Generation Facility and Landfill Gas Flare operations. Test and repair equipment and supplies will need to be purchased to bring landfill gas field operations up to the new, more rigorous standards of the new regulations. Consultant work associated with the initial permit application will include inspections to identify existing emission sources and to obtain other pertinent information, completion of emission estimates for the sources, development of a final list of applicable regulatory requirements, evaluation of the facility's compliance status with each applicable air quality regulatory requirement, and if there are areas of non-compliance, development of a compliance plan. An emissions monitoring program complying with the Compliance Assurance Monitoring (CAM) requirements (Title V) will be prepared, and associated monitoring reports and plans will be developed, as necessary, to comply with CAM requirements. A compliance certification will have to be prepared and signed by the City's designated responsible official.

#### **Service Level**

none

#### **Issues**

none

Financial Data	Prior Years Actual	Revised Budget 2002-03	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	10 Year Budget	Grand Total
<b>Project Costs</b>	0	0	50,000	0	0	2,971	0	0	3,153	0	0	3,346	59,470	59,470
Revenues														
Total	0	0											0	0
Transfers-In														
Total	0	0											0	0
<b>Operating Costs</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## **Project: 824260** Solid Waste Cost of Service Study

Category: Origination Year: Planned Completion Year: Origin:	Special 2005-06 2005-06 Staff	Type: Phase: % Complete:	Solid Waste Ongoing n/a		Department: Project Manager: Project Coordinator: Interdependencies:	Finance Mark Bowers Tim Kirby Public Works
Element: Sub-Element:	3 Environmental Management 3.2 Solid Waste Management	Goal: Neighborhood:	3.2F City Wi	de		
Fund:	455 Utilities	Sub-Fund:	200 Solid Waste Management			

## **Statement of Need**

Every four years the Utilities Division in the Dept of Finance performs a cost of service study on the solid waste system to reallocate the costs of the City's solid waste services among the various customer classes based on their use of each service. Staff works with a consultant to develop a cost of service model or update an existing model with current data. The study generates a cost of service for each customer class and recommends adjustments to the rate structure to ensure costs are recovered on an equitable basis from the different customer classes.

### **Service Level**

COS study will help maintain the optimum service level.

#### **Issues**

none

Financial Data	Prior Years Actual	Revised Budget 2002-03	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	10 Year Budget	Grand Total
<b>Project Costs</b>	0	0	0	0	0	31,884	0	0	0	0	35,202	0	67,086	67,086
Revenues														
Total	0	0											0	0
Transfers-In														
Total	0	0											0	0
<b>Operating Costs</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0